## Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications

ISSN 1744-3091

## S. J. Tomanicek,<sup>a</sup>\* A. Johs,<sup>a</sup> M. S. Sawhney,<sup>a</sup> L. Shi<sup>b</sup> and L. Liang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA

Correspondence e-mail: tomaniceksj@ornl.gov

Received 12 August 2011 Accepted 1 November 2011



© 2012 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

# Crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic studies of the outer membrane cytochrome OmcA from *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1

The outer membrane cytochrome OmcA functions as a terminal metal reductase in the dissimilatory metal-reducing bacterium *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. The ten-heme centers shuttle electrons from the transmembrane donor complex to extracellular electron acceptors. Here, the crystallization and preliminary crystallographic analysis of OmcA are reported. Crystals of OmcA were grown by the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method using PEG 20 000 as a precipitant. The OmcA crystals belonged to space group  $P2_1$ , with unit-cell parameters a = 93.0, b = 246.0, c = 136.6 Å,  $\alpha = 90, \beta = 97.8, \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ . X-ray diffraction data were collected to a maximum resolution of 3.25 Å.

## 1. Introduction

Dissimilatory metal-reducing bacteria (DMRBs) such as Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 play an important role in the environmental cycling of Fe, Mn and other metals, the degradation of organic compounds and the reductive sequestration of radionuclide contaminants in sediments (Weber et al., 2006). For example, S. oneidensis MR-1 has been shown to couple the oxidation of carbon sources (or molecular hydrogen, H<sub>2</sub>) to the reduction of oxidized metals such as iron (Fe<sup>III</sup>) and manganese (Mn<sup>IV</sup>) (hydr)oxides (Myers & Nealson, 1988; Nealson & Saffarini, 1994). S. oneidensis MR-1 uses a set of multiheme cytochromes (Weber et al., 2006) to facilitate electron transfer from the cell to extracellular electron acceptors such as Fe<sup>III</sup> oxides directly and/or indirectly via electron shuttles (Shi et al., 2009). Specifically, the decaheme cytochromes encoded by the mtrDEFomcA-mtrCAB gene cluster (Shi et al., 2007; Coursolle & Gralnick, 2010) have been identified as the major electron-carrier proteins utilized by S. oneidensis MR-1 to transfer electrons across the outer membrane (OM). MtrA, MtrB and MtrC form a transmembrane complex (MtrCAB) that has been shown to conduct electrons across the bacterial OM (Hartshorne et al., 2009). MtrF, MtrD and MtrE can form a similar trans-OM electron-transport complex (MtrFDE) that is homologous to MtrCAB (Bücking et al., 2010; Coursolle & Gralnick, 2010). A recent crystal structure of MtrF has provided important molecular details of how extracellular electron transfer might occur (Clarke et al., 2011). OmcA, a homolog of MtrC and MtrF, is a decaheme c-type cytochrome with a molecular mass of 85 kDa located on the cell surface of S. oneidensis MR-1 that can serve as a terminal reductase to transfer electrons to an insoluble mineral substrate at the microbe-mineral interface (Shi et al., 2006). As the molecular structure of OmcA has not been determined, a thorough understanding of the mechanistic basis of dissimilatory metal reduction involving OmcA remains lacking. Here, we report the crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis of OmcA at a resolution of 3.25 Å.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Protein expression and purification

Multiheme cytochromes are difficult to obtain using classic *Escherichia coli* expression systems because specific maturation proteins are required for the effective incorporation of multiple heme moieties into expressed proteins. Thus, the expression of OmcA was

performed in S. oneidensis MR-1 (Shi et al., 2005) using a construct lacking a single N-terminal cysteine residue that accommodates a post-translational lipid modification (Myers & Myers, 2004). It has been shown that the redox properties and metal reductase activities are not altered by deletion of the lipid-binding site (Eggleston et al., 2008). The cell-culture conditions and expression of OmcA were the same as previously described for MtrA (Shi et al., 2005). To isolate OmcA, the cell pellets were resuspended in ice-cold buffer A [20 mM Na HEPES pH 7.8, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05%(w/v) CHAPS], to which protease inhibitor (Complete, Roche Diagnostic, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA) was added following the manufacturer's instructions. The cells were lysed by passage through a French press three times at 55 MPa. Unbroken cells and debris were removed by centrifugation at 15 000g and 277 K for 30 min. The supernatant was transferred into an ultracentrifugation tube and centrifuged at 150 000g for 1 h. The supernatant was loaded onto a  $1 \times 5$  cm column of Ni<sup>2+</sup>-NTA Sepharose (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA) pre-equilibrated with buffer A. The column was washed with 25 ml of the following ice-cold buffers in sequential order: buffer B (buffer A + 10% glycerol), buffer C (buffer B +10 mM imidazole) and buffer D (buffer B + 40 mM imidazole). Finally, it was eluted with 10 ml buffer E (buffer B + 250 mMimidazole).

The identity of OmcA was confirmed by Western blot analysis with anti-V5 antibody (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). The fractions containing OmcA were pooled and concentrated using Amicon Ultra centrifugal devices (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA). The concentrated OmcA was loaded onto a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 column and was eluted with buffer F [20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl] using an ÄKTAexplorer FPLC system (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA). The homogeneity of the purified OmcA (approximately 79.2 kDa, consisting of 740 amino-acid residues) was confirmed by staining with GelCode stain reagent (Pierce, Rockford, Illinois, USA) following SDS-PAGE. Small-angle X-ray scattering showed that OmcA forms a monomer in solution and undergoes redox-state-dependent conformational change (Johs et al., 2010). The extinction coefficient of oxidized OmcA was determined to be 916 m $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> by relating the absorption at 410 nm to a concentration series determined using a Bradford assay. All protein concentrations were determined by measuring the absorption at 410 nm.

## 2.2. Crystallization

Following purification, OmcA was dialyzed in a buffer solution consisting of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% CHAPS, 5% glycerol and the protein was concentrated to  $10 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  for crystallization trials. Initial OmcA crystallization conditions were obtained from high-throughput microbatch-under-oil crystallization screening at the Hauptman-Woodward Medical Research Institute, Buffalo, New York, USA (Luft et al., 2003). The microbatch crystallization setup contained 0.2  $\mu$ l protein solution (10 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) mixed with 0.2  $\mu$ l crystallization-cocktail solution [20%(v/v) polyethylene glycol 20 000, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>] and covered with paraffin oil (EMD Chemicals Inc., Gibbstown, New Jersey, USA) at 295 K. Small red-colored crystals with a plate-like morphology were observed after three weeks. During crystallization optimization of the microbatch setups grown under oil, crystal manipulation for cryogenic preservation proved to be difficult owing to the small size of the OmcA crystals and the highly viscous nature of the mother liquor. As a result, exhaustive efforts were thereafter focused on growing crystals at an overall lower supersaturation using the hanging-drop

vapor-diffusion method. The purified protein was dialyzed into a low ionic strength buffer (20 m*M* Tris–HCl pH 7.8) and concentrated to 8 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> prior to hanging-drop vapor-diffusion crystallization. Diffraction-quality crystals of OmcA were obtained after 3–4 weeks from a hanging-drop gradient expansion with a reservoir solution consisting of 8–11% (v/v) polyethylene glycol 20 000, 0.1 *M* Tris–HCl pH 7.8, 0.1 *M* MgCl<sub>2</sub> at 295 K. In the setup, a hanging drop consisting of 2 µl protein solution mixed with 2 µl reservoir solution was equilibrated over a total reservoir volume of 500 µl. OmcA crystals grown using the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method are shown in Fig. 1.

### 2.3. X-ray diffraction data collection and processing

Prior to X-ray diffraction data collection, a crystal of OmcA was momentarily placed in reservoir solution containing a cryoprotectant [25% glycerol, 11%( $\nu/\nu$ ) polyethylene glycol 20 000, 0.1 *M* Tris–HCl pH 7.8, 0.1 *M* MgCl<sub>2</sub>] at 277 K and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data to 3.25 Å resolution were collected from a single OmcA crystal at 100 K over a range of 190° (crystal-to-detector distance 450 mm, 1.0° oscillation, 60 s exposure) using an ADSC Quantum 315 CCD detector on the BioCARS 14-BMC beamline ( $\lambda =$ 0.979 Å) at the Advanced Photon Source (Chicago, Illinois, USA). The data were integrated using *XDS* (Kabsch, 2010); *SCALA* (Winn *et al.*, 2011) was used for scaling and merging during data analysis.

## 3. Results and discussion

The outer membrane decaheme *c*-type cytochrome OmcA from *S. oneidensis* MR-1 was expressed and purified to homogeneity under aerobic conditions. The isolated OmcA was crystallized using the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method. During initial X-ray diffraction screening, many crystals showed twinning and elliptical diffraction spots as OmcA crystals have a propensity to grow as thin stacked plates. Owing to the very small size of the crystals used in this study, crystal decay resulting from radiation damage further complicated data collection. Precooling the crystallization drops to 277 K and variation of the cryoprotectant composition and the time of soaking significantly improved the diffraction quality. The best X-ray diffraction data set was collected from a single crystal at 100 K. The data



#### Figure 1

OmcA crystals grown using the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method at 295 K with a reservoir solution consisting of  $10\% (\nu/\nu)$  polyethylene glycol 20 000, 0.1 *M* Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 0.1 *M* MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The crystals grew with a plate-like morphology; the longest dimension was measured as approximately 0.15–0.2 mm. All OmcA crystals were clearly red in color; however, the equipment used to obtain the image could not be accurately calibrated to capture this true red color.

#### Table 1

X-ray diffraction data collection.

Values in	parentheses	are for	the	highest	resolution	shel
-----------	-------------	---------	-----	---------	------------	------

X-ray source	APS BioCARS 14-BMC		
Data-collection temperature (K)	100		
Wavelength (Å)	0.979		
No. of images	190		
Oscillation range (°)	1		
Exposure time (s)	60		
Unit-cell parameters (Å)	a = 93.0, b = 246.0, c = 136.6,		
1	$\alpha = 90, \beta = 97.8, \gamma = 90$		
Asymmetric unit contents	8 molecules		
Solvent content (%)	49.4		
Space group	P21		
No. of reflections	364673		
No. of unique reflections	94591 (13632)		
Resolution range (Å)	47.6-3.25 (3.43-3.25)		
Multiplicity	3.9 (3.7)		
$\langle I/\sigma(I)\rangle$	12.2 (4.8)		
$R_{\text{merge}}$ <sup>†</sup> (%)	11.3 (28.7)		
$R_{\text{nim}}$ (%)	6.6 (17.2)		
Data completeness (%)	99.2 (97.9)		
Wilson plot <i>B</i> factor $(\text{\AA}^2)$	43.3		

 $\dagger R_{\text{merge}} = 100 \times \sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle| / \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$ , where  $I_i(hkl)$  is the intensity of the *i*th observation of reflection hkl and  $\langle I(hkl) \rangle$  is the mean value of *i* measurements of the reflection.

were processed to 3.25 Å resolution with a completeness of 99.2% and an overall  $R_{p.i.m.}$  of 6.6% (see Table 1). The OmcA crystal belonged to space group  $P2_1$ , with unit-cell parameters a = 93.0, b = 246.0, c = 136.6 Å,  $\alpha = 90$ ,  $\beta = 97.8$ ,  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ . *phenix.xtriage* (Zwart *et al.*, 2005) from the *PHENIX* suite (Adams *et al.*, 2002) was also used to verify that no twinning could be detected in the reported data set. Calculation of the Matthews coefficient ( $V_{\rm M} = 2.43$  Å<sup>3</sup> Da<sup>-1</sup>; molecular weight = 79.2 kDa) indicated the presence of eight molecules per asymmetric unit (Matthews, 1968). A summary of all X-ray crystallographic data-collection statistics is given in Table 1.

This research was supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research, US Department of Energy (DOE) through the Mercury Science Focus Area Program at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for DOE under contract No. DE-AC05-000R22725. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated for the DOE by Battelle Memorial Institute under contract No. DE-AC05-76RLO1380. We wish to thank Dr Anna Gardberg for her early efforts in conducting crystal diffraction work and we also thank Dr B. Leif Hanson and Dr Timothy C. Mueser for helpful discussions. We are grateful to the staff at APS BioCARS for their assistance in data collection. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the US Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Use of BioCARS Sector 14 was supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Research Resources under grant No. RR007707.

#### References

- Adams, P. D., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Hung, L.-W., Ioerger, T. R., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N. W., Read, R. J., Sacchettini, J. C., Sauter, N. K. & Terwilliger, T. C. (2002). Acta Cryst. D58, 1948–1954.
- Bücking, C., Popp, F., Kerzenmacher, S. & Gescher, J. (2010). FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 306, 144–151.
- Clarke, T. A. et al. (2011). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 108, 9384-9389.
- Coursolle, D. & Gralnick, J. A. (2010). Mol. Microbiol. 77, 995-1008.
- Eggleston, C. M., Vörös, J., Shi, L., Lower, B. H., Droubay, T. C. & Colberg, P. J. S. (2008). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **361**, 769–777.
- Hartshorne, R. S. et al. (2009). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 22169-22174.
- Johs, A., Shi, L., Droubay, T., Ankner, J. F. & Liang, L. (2010). Biophys. J. 98, 3035–3043.
- Kabsch, W. (2010). Acta Cryst. D66, 125-132.
- Luft, J. R., Collins, R. J., Fehrman, N. A., Lauricella, A. M., Veatch, C. K. & DeTitta, G. T. (2003). J. Struct. Biol. 142, 170–179.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491-497.
- Myers, C. R. & Myers, J. M. (2004). Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 39, 466-470.
- Myers, C. R. & Nealson, K. H. (1988). Science, 240, 1319-1321.
- Nealson, K. H. & Saffarini, D. (1994). Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 48, 311-343.
- Shi, L. et al. (2006). J. Bacteriol. 188, 4705-4714.
- Shi, L., Lin, J.-T., Markillie, L. M., Squier, T. C. & Hooker, B. S. (2005). Biotechniques, 38, 297–299.
- Shi, L., Richardson, D. J., Wang, Z., Kerisit, S. N., Rosso, K. M., Zachara, J. M. & Fredrickson, J. K. (2009). *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 1, 220–227.
- Shi, L., Squier, T. C., Zachara, J. M. & Fredrickson, J. K. (2007). Mol. Microbiol. 65, 12–20.
- Weber, K. A., Achenbach, L. A. & Coates, J. D. (2006). Nature Rev. Microbiol. 4, 752–764.
- Winn, M. D. et al. (2011). Acta Cryst. D67, 235-242.
- Zwart, P. H., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W. & Adams, P. D. (2005). CCP4 Newsl. 42, 10.